

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/791,088	Applicant(s) DIESLER ET AL.	
	Examiner Richard Edgar	Art Unit 3745	

All Participants:

(1) Richard Edgar.

(2) John Musone.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2007

Time: 10:30 AM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 None

Claims discussed:
 None

Prior art documents discussed:
 None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Abstract is not one paragraph. Accordingly, the title of the Abstract labeled as paragraph 0026 in the Substitute Specification filed 3/2/2004 has been deleted. Also, the figure designated to be printed on the first page of the PCT application, "FIGURE 1" has also been deleted, just in case the substitute Abstract in the Substitute Specification is overlooked.



200218158

1/2

